1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 5 6 7 Case No. 16-cv-02001-JSW NARCISO FUENTES, 8 Plaintiff, ORDER OF ADMONISHMENT 9 v. Re: Dkt. No. 25 10 DISH NETWORK L.L.C., 11 Defendant. 12 13 On May 23, 2016, Plaintiff filed his opposition to Defendant's motion to compel arbitration. In violation of Northern District Local Rule 7-3(a), Plaintiff filed a separate statement 14 15 of evidentiary objections. In light of the fact that the Court granted a request for an enlargement of page limits, the Court is not pleased with Plaintiff's failure to comply with the requirement that 16 evidentiary objections be contained within his opposition brief. However, the Court shall not 17 18 strike those objections, and it will consider them. 19 ALL PARTIES ARE HEREBY ADMONISHED that if they fail to comply with the provisions of Local Rules 7-3(a) and 7-3(c) in the future regarding evidentiary objections, 20 21 the Court shall strike any evidentiary objections that are not contained in an opposition or reply brief. 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23 ry SWhite Dated: May 31, 2016 24 25 26 27

28